ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA436107

Filing date:

10/17/2011

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91198718		
Party	Plaintiff William Quiqley		
Correspondence Address	ZACHARY D MESSA RUPPEL & BURNS LLP POST OFFICE BOX 1100 TAMPA, FL 33601-1100 UNITED STATES zacharym@jpfirm.com		
Submission	Motion to Consolidate		
Filer's Name	Cheryl Fakhry		
Filer's e-mail	cfakhry@mayerbrown.com		
Signature	/Cheryl Fakhry/		
Date	10/17/2011		
Attachments	Finalized Motion to Consolidate.pdf (4 pages)(81357 bytes)		

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

v. JOHN THEOFILOS	Opposer, Applicant.		Opposition No. 91198718
WILLIAM QUIGLEY Opposer,)))
v.)	Opposition No. 91201092
T-SQUAD, LLC	•)	,
	Applicant.)	

JOINT MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Opposer William Quigley ("Opposer"), and Applicants John Theofilos and T-Squad, LLC ("Applicants"), respectfully move the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board for consolidation of Opposition No. 91198718 with Opposition No. 91201092, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a) and TBMP § 511. Opposer and Applicants seek consolidation of the oppositions to the trademarks "IF IT DOESN'T EXCITE YOU. WHY BOTHER?" and "SKRAPPER IF IT DOESN'T EXCITE YOU WHY BOTHER." (the "Marks") for the reasons set forth herein:

- 1. The subject opposition proceedings involve common questions of law and fact.
- Applicant T-Squad, LLC is a Florida limited liability company in which
 Applicant John Theofilos is an investor.
- Opposer is represented by the same attorney in both proceedings, Lisa Ferri of
 Mayer Brown, LLP. Applicants are represented by the same attorney in both
 proceedings, Zachary D. Messa of Johnson, Pope, Bokor, Ruppel & Burns, LLP.
- 3. The Marks are both registered for international class 025 for the sale of t-shirts.
 The only difference in the subject applications is the addition of the word
 "Skrapper" to the second mark being opposed.
- 4. The issues raised by Opposer in both oppositions are the same, namely, that rights to the Marks belong to Opposer, Applicants knowingly and intentionally misrepresented themselves as sole owner of the Marks in their trademark applications, and use of the Marks by Applicants would cause confusion.
- The opposition proceedings are both in the initial stages in that the testimony period has not begun in either of the opposition proceedings.

WHEREFORE, because the oppositions involve common questions of law and fact,
Opposer and Applicants respectfully request that the Board consolidate Opposition Nos.
91198718 and 91201092 and extend the discovery period and reset the trial dates in accordance with the timeline issued by the Board for the later mark, Opposition No. 91201092.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 1, 2011

Date: October 2011

Lisa M. Ferri

MAYER BROWN LLP

1675 Broadway

New York, NY 10019

Attorney for Opposer William Quigley

By:

Zachary D. Messa

JOHNSON, POPE, BOKOR, RUPPEL &

BURNS, LLP

403 East Madison Street, Suite 400

Tampa, FL 33602

Attorney for Applicants John Theofilos and T-Squad, LLC

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on October 17, 2011, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via U.S. Mail upon:

Zachary D. Messa, Esq. Johnson, Pope, Bokor, Ruppel & Burns, LLP P.O. Box 1100 Tampa, Florida 33601-1100

Attorney for Applicant

Sasam Flora Lisa Ferri